Dependency Injection in practice



Software Architect twitter.com/khorvat2



What is Dependency Injection (DI)

Dependency injection is a software design pattern that implements inversion of control for software libraries.

Wikipedia

Dependency Injection is a set of software design principles and patterns that enable us to develop loosely coupled code. Mark Seemann



What is Inversion of Control (IoC)

Inversion of Control (IoC) describes a design in which customwritten portions of a computer program receive the flow of **control** from a generic, reusable library.

Wikipedia

Inversion of Control is a common pattern among developers that helps assemble components from different projects into a cohesive application.

~ based on http://www.martinfowler.com/articles/injection.html (reworded).

Martin Fowler

When to use DI & IoC?

High Level perspective

- when your software stack supports DI & IoC
- when you have mid to large projects or teams
- when we have long running projects
- when your project tend to change over time (Agile)
- when you plan to use unit testing
- when you plan to deploy projects to cloud (Amazon, Azure, etc.)



When to use DI & IoC?

Low Level perspective

- when we want to avoid tight coupling of objects
- when we need to easily add new functionality to code (Extensibility)
- when we need to choose what components we use at run-time rather than compiletime (Late binding)
- when we want multiple development teams to work on the same project (Parallel Development)
- when we want independent components and isolated functionality (Maintainability)
- when we need to use mocks for unit testing (Testability)
- when we want to enforce SRP (single responsibility principle)



DI Containers

.NET

- AutoFac
- SimpleInjector
- Ninject
- StructureMap
- Castle Windsor
- Unity
- Spring.NET

PHP

- Laravel IoC
- PHP DI
- Zend DI
- Symfony

- Dice

Java

- Pico container
- Guice
- Spring
- Silk DI

JavaScript

- di-lite
- inverted
- wire.js
- bottle.js
- pimple
- cujo.js (Spring like)



How to choose DI container?

Things to consider while choosing DI container

- easy to understand API
- easy and readable configuration
- performance
- plugin support
- container should be widely accepted
- extensions (the more the merrier)
- large community (maybe the most important)





DI in Practice - GitHub Repo

- 1. Prerequisites
 - 1. .Net 4.x
 - 2. VS 2013
 - 3. Git
- 2. Repository setup
 - 1. git clone https://github.com/khorvat/DependencyInjectionInPractice
 - 2. git checkout master
 - 3. git checkout tags/## (git checkout tags/01)

Note: Slides that have Tag marker in the top right corner follows the GitHub code samples.





So how can we use DI? Dependency Injection By Hand

Dependency

class Sword

```
public void Hit(string target)
```

Console.WriteLine("Chopped {0} clean in half", target);

Note

- tight coupling
- hard to mock
- missing abstraction





So how can we use DI? Dependency Injection By Hand

Target

class Samurai

```
readonly Sword sword;
public Samurai()
```

```
this.sword = new Sword();
```

public void Attack(string target)
{
 this.sword.Hit(target);

Note

- tight coupling
- hard to mock
 - missing abstraction



So how can we use DI? Dependency Injection By Hand

Create Target

class Program

public static void Main()

var warrior = new Samurai(); warrior.Attack("the evildoers");

Note

- unable to inject different implementation





To decouple we need to abstract?

Things we need to do in order to use DI and IoC

- program with interfaces or abstract classes
- hosting class needs to use interfaces
 - can receive any implementation
 - can have real one for production
 - can have mocked one for unit testing
- expose dependencies via constructor (constructor injection)



Dependency

class Sword : IWeapon

public void Hit(string target)

Console.WriteLine("Chopped {0} clean in half", target);

class Shuriken : IWeapon

public void Hit(string target) { Console.WriteLine("Pierced {0}'s armor", target);

Note

- loosely coupled
- IoC support
- easy to mock

 $\langle \! \! \diamond \! \rangle$

class Samurai

```
Target
```

Note

- loosely coupled
- IoC support
- easy to mock

```
readonly IWeapon weapon;
public Samurai(IWeapon weapon)
{
   this.weapon = weapon;
```

public void Attack(string target)
{
 this.weapon.Hit(target);



Create Target

class Program

```
public static void Main()
```

The following results will be printer to console output: *Pierced the evildoers armor. Chopped the evildoers clean in half.*

```
var warrior1 = new Samurai(new Shuriken());
var warrior2 = new Samurai(new Sword());
warrior1.Attack("the evildoers");
warrior2.Attack("the evildoers");
```





- we have loosely coupling
- we have abstractions
- we have IoC support
- we have constructor injection
- we have dependency injection by hand
- what happens when our dependencies has dependencies on their own?





Great, but do I have to resolve every dependency by hand?

DI Container to rescue!

IKernel kernel = new StandardKernel(); var samurai = kernel.Get<Samurai>();





Ok, this works for concrete implementation, what about interfaces?

IKernel kernel = new StandardKernel(); var warrior = kernel.Get < IWarrior > ();

We need DI container configuration.



Configuration Practices – Code, XML or Convention ?

Code

- strongly-typed
- refactoring and compiler support
- easy to maintain
- conditional bindings support



Configuration Practices – Code, XML or Convention ?

XML

- hot-swap (no recompilation)
- no refactoring or compiler support
- verbose and hard to maintain
- hard to enforce conditional bindings

Note: You can mix configurations

Configuration Practices – Code, XML or Convention?

Convention

- hot-swap
- conditional bindings support
- hard to maintain
- great deal of magic is involved



Configuration using Code

IKernel kernel = new StandardKernel();

kernel.Bind < IWeapon > ().To < Shuriken > (); kernel.Bind < IWarrior > ().To < Samurai > ();

var warrior = kernel.Get < **IWarrior** > ();

Code

- strongly-typec
- refactoring and compiler support
- easy to maintain
- conditional bindings support



Patterns and Practices

- Injection Patterns
- Multi Injection
- Abstract Factory Pattern
- Facade Services (or Aggregate Service)
- Composition Root



Injection Patterns - Constructor Injection

Constructor Injection *public (IWeaponAction weaponAction)*

- clean implementation
- not bound to specific DI container
- easy construct tests



Injection Patterns - Property Injection

Property Injection [Inject] public IWeapon Weapon {get; set; }

- hides implementation
- bound to specific DI container
- exposing internal architecture



Injection Patterns – Method Injection

Method Injection [Inject]

public void Arm(IWeapon weapon)

- hides implementation
- bound to specific DI container
- initialization logic needed





MultiInjection

IKernel kernel = new StandardKernel();

```
kernel.Bind<IWeapon>().To<Shuriken>()
;
kernel.Bind<IWeapon>().To<Sword>();
kernel.Bind<IWearrior>().To<Samurai>();
```

var warrior = kernel.Get < IWarrior > ();

public Samurai(List < IWeapon > weapons)
{
 this.weapons = weapons;





Abstract Factory Pattern

- Abstract Factory is design pattern where an interface is responsible for creating related objects without explicitly specifying their concrete classes
- lightweight implementation (or constructed on runtime via Dynamic Proxy)
- used for injecting optional services (gain performance by reducing resolution time)
- The *new* operator is considered harmful (no loC)





Abstract Factory Pattern

```
public interface IDaggerFactory
  IDagger Create();
....
public Samurai(IDaggerFactory daggerFactory)
....
daggerFactory.Create().Hit(target);
```





Facade Services (or Aggregate Services) Concept

- Factory Service is a design concept where an interface is used to aggregate any number of services or factories to overcome the constructor over-injection and possible performance implications
- using more than 3-4 services in the constructor is a clear sign that we should consider using facade service
- using constructor injection makes it easy to determine what services should be aggregated



Facade Services (or Aggregate Services) Concept

public interface IWeaponFactory

IDagger CreateDagger();
ISword CreateSword();

public Samurai(IWeaponFactory weaponFactory)

••••

weaponFactory.CreateDagger().Hit(target); weaponFactory.CreateSword().Hit(target);



Composition Root

- Composition Root is a (preferably) unique location in an application where modules are composed together. (M. Seemann)
- only composition root should have reference to DI container
- code relies on injection patterns but is never composed
- only applications should have Composition Roots, libraries and frameworks shouldn't.
- entire object graph should be composed in the following entry points (depends on the framework)
 - console application Main method
 - ASP.NET MVC & WebAPI applications global.asax, IControllerFactory or PreApplicationStartMethod
 - etc.



Composition Root

WebAPI Example

private static readonly Bootstrapper bootstrapper = new Bootstrapper();

bootstrapper.Initialize(CreateKernel);

```
private static IKernel CreateKernel()
```

```
var kernel = new StandardKernel();
```

// Install Ninject-based IDependencyResolver into the Web API configuration set Web API Resolver GlobalConfiguration.Configuration.DependencyResolver = new NinjectDependencyResolver(kernel); return kernel;



What about memory management?

- Some of the DI containers manage object lifecycle automatically and some have object scopes
- It's important to know how you objects are managed, with Ninject DI there are following scopes available
 - Transient not managed by the Kernel no scope
 - Singleton objects are disposed when Kernel is disposed
 - Thread objects are disposed when underlying Thread object is garbage collected.
 - Request Web Request objects are disposed at the end of the Web request processing
 - Named, Call & Parent objects are disposed when their scope object is GC'd
 - Custom Scope you manage object lifecycle

Good and Bad Practices

- Good
 - Extensibility
 - Dynamic Proxy
 - IoC of DI Container
- Bad
 - Constructor Over-Injection
 - Service Locator
 - Non-Abstract Factories





Extensibility - Good Practices

- Work on another implementation in parallel
- Switch implementations dynamically
- Enforce SRP
- Produce clean and maintainable projects



Dynamic Proxy - Good Practices

- Abstract Factories and Facade Services can be constructed on the runtime by using the dynamically generated proxy classes
- Classes are created on application startup (only once) and loaded into memory (AppDomain)
- Pros
 - easy to implement
 - lightweight no code at all
- Cons
 - no ready-to-use implementation
 - difficult to understand





Dynamic Proxy - Good Practices

Usage

```
public interface IDaggerFactory
{
    IDagger Create();
}
....
kernel.Bind < IDaggerFactory > ().ToFactory();
```

••••

Abstract Away the DI Container - Good Practices

- It's good thing not to be bound to a specific DI container so use abstraction in order to switch from one container to another
 - abstract the container
 - abstract the configuration



Constructor Over-Injection - Bad Practices

- It's a code smell rather then anti-pattern
 - hard to maintain
 - slow resolution
 - resolution of optional dependencies
 - easy detect SRP violation

- Solution – Facade Services



Service Locator Anti-Pattern - Bad Practices

- Service Locator is central registry used to obtain services
 - Kernel.Get<IService>()
- Service Locator is Anti-Pattern because it hides class dependencies, causing run-time errors rather then compile-time errors M. Seemann
 - run-time errors
 - easy introduce breaking changes
 - bound to specific DI container
 - it violates SOLID principles ISP principle
- Solution Use Constructor Injection, Factories & Composition Root



Non-Abstract Factory - Bad Practice

- Non-Abstract Factory is bad practice because you bound factory to concrete implementation



Non-Abstract Factory - Bad Practice

Usage

```
public interface IDaggerFactory
{
    IDagger Create(IMyDependency dep);
}
public class Dagger : IDagger
{
    public Dagger(IMyDependency dep)
    {
    }
}
```





Layered Architecture - GitHub Repo

- 1. Prerequisites
 - 1. .Net 4.x
 - 2. VS 2013
 - 3. Git
- 2. Repository setup
 - 1. git clone https://github.com/khorvat/DIPracticeLayeredArchitecture
 - 2. git checkout master
 - 3. git checkout tags/## (git checkout tags/01)
- 3. How to Run
 - 1. Build Solution
 - 2. Setup IIS
 - 3. Open Command Prompt in repository root and run RunSample.cmd
 - 1. Note: In case of IIS Express you will need to edit the RunSample and change the URL of the app

Note: Slides that have Tag marker in the top right corner follows the GitHub code samples.



Layered Architecture with DI and IoC





Cart - Layered Architecture

- 1. Cart.DAL
 - 1. CartEntity
 - 2. ProductEntity
- 2. Cart.Repository
 - 1. Get Cart
 - 2. Get Products
 - 3. Add Product to Cart
 - 4. Remove Product from Cart
- 3. Cart.Service
 - 1. Get My Cart
 - 2. Get Only Products InStock
 - 3. Add Product to Cart With InStock Validation
 - 4. Remove Product from Cart



Layered Architecture – IoC of the Repository





Cart - IoC of the Repository

1. Cart.DAL

- 1. CartEntity
- 2. ProductEntity

2. Cart.Repository switched with Cart.Repository.Ex

- 1. Get Cart
- 2. Get Products With IsDeleted Filter
- 3. Add Product to Cart
- 4. Remove Product from Cart
- 3. Cart.Service
 - 1. Get My Cart
 - 2. Get Only Products InStock
 - 3. Add Product to Cart With InStock Validation
 - 4. Remove Product from Cart



Layered Architecture – IoC of the Service





Cart - IoC of the Service

1. Cart.DAL

- 1. CartEntity
- 2. ProductEntity

2. Cart.Repository switched with Cart.Repository.Ex

- 1. Get Cart
- 2. Get Products With IsDeleted Filter
- 3. Add Product to Cart
- 4. Remove Product from Cart
- 3. Cart.Service switched with Cart.Service.Ex
 - 1. Get My Cart
 - 2. Get Only Products InStock with Valid Exp. Date
 - 3. Add Product to Cart With InStock and Exp. Date Validation
 - 4. Remove Product from Cart



Cart - Layered Architecture

What have we demonstrated

- 1. How to setup Ninject DI container inside the ASP.NET WebAPI
- 2. How should we architecture the layers in order to make them loC ready
- 3. We can simply change the Layers as they are loosely coupled



Cart - Layered Architecture

What are practical use cases for this architecture

- 1. Have one team maintaining existing code while others are working on the new implementation
- 2. Implement Mocks for whole layers for Unit testing
- 3. Switch DAL or ORM tool used to access the database
- 4. Switch file system providers (Local file system to Azure or Amazon storage)
- 5. Switch caching providers (InMemory Cache to Redis Cache)





Questions?

- Kristijan Horvat
- kristijan@mono-software.com
- https://twitter.com/khorvat2



References

- https://github.com/khorvat/DependencyInjectionInPractice
- https://github.com/khorvat/DIPracticeLayeredArchitecture
- http://www.ninject.org/
- https://github.com/ninject/ninject
- http://lukewickstead.wordpress.com/2013/01/18/ninject-cheat-sheet/
- http://www.jeremybytes.com/Downloads/DependencyInjection.pdf
- http://blog.ploeh.dk/
 - http://blog.ploeh.dk/2011/07/28/CompositionRoot/
 - http://blog.ploeh.dk/2012/03/15/ImplementinganAbstractFactory/
 - http://blog.ploeh.dk/2010/02/03/ServiceLocatorisanAnti-Pattern/
 - http://blog.ploeh.dk/2014/05/15/service-locator-violates-solid/
 - http://blog.ploeh.dk/2010/02/02/RefactoringtoAggregateServices/
- http://www.planetgeek.ch/2011/12/31/ninject-extensions-factory-introduction/
- http://www.martinfowler.com/articles/injection.html

